Class and Section: MIS4173 Date: 02/20/2022

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 1**

Team 12:

Myles Gardner, Jalen McMillan, David Nagy

SR&R 1 Documentation Grade: **70** SR&R 1 Presentation Grade: **86**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 1 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  You all did a satisfactory job on your first sprint. I know you will improve. Take my feedback and change what needs to be changed. If you need anything, let me know.  Dr. Kisling (02/20/2022) |

#### SR&R 1 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 1 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 1 |
| **SR&R 1 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents** |  |  |
| Documents from Document Backlog | **10** | 9 |
| Initial Use Case Diagram | **15** | 12 |
| Initial Context Diagram | **20** | 19 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Initial Product Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 1 Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 1 Burn Down Chart | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 1 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 1 Team Evaluation Document | **7** | 0 |
| **Team Roles & Team Charter** | **6** | 0 |
| **Project Plan** | **10** | 0 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 70 |

#### SR&R 1 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Company Background & Current Environment | **5** | 5 |
| Problem Analysis discussion (BPA, BPI, BPR) | **15** | 15 |
| Proposed System Objectives, and Constraints | **5** | 5 |
| Expected Benefits | **5** | 5 |
| Initial Use Case Diagram | **15** | 12 |
| Initial Context Diagram | **15** | 14 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Initial Product Backlog | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 1 Backlog | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 1 Burn Down Chart | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 1 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **10** | 0 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **5** | 5 |
| Input from peers | **5** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 86 |

**Comments:**

DOCUMENTATION

* First, this is a business document and therefore it needs to be all single-spaced, no paragraph spacing before or after—should be set to 0pt, one line space between paragraphs, a sans-serif font such as Arial, Helvetica, or Calibri, and the use of center and side headings that area appropriately sized
  + It should not look like an academic paper
  + Spacing should be the same under and above headings
  + Look at the DE Project Notes again to see what goes on the title page
  + Title Page does not go on a table of contents, only what is after the TOC goes on the TOC
  + There are no page numbers listed so the TOC is useless
  + Please use correct section heading names—you will find them on the Sprint 6 Grade sheet
  + Sprint evaluation does not go in the project report
  + Headings should be at the top of the page (page 5)
  + The CD is in Section 1 of the document. You have it in section 2. Just wanted you to be aware
  + Look at the example projects on Canvas
  + If you have questions, ask
* See comments about UCD and CD below
* Sprint items
  + System product backlog and Sprint 1 backlog are good
  + Where are any requirements for the system listed on the backlog?
  + Status can be listed as OPEN or CLOSED if you like
  + SCRUM meeting log is okay—you all basically met every day? You only put information down for the times you do stand ups
  + Burndown chart is okay. Where are the other 5 sprint worksheets that are in the original provided file?
  + There is no Sprint 1 Evaluation document
* There is no Team roles and Team charter document
* There is no Project plan document
* If you need help with anything, please let me know. I am here to help you all be successful

PRESENTATION

* You did a good job on the content you provided
* You are doing BPI, not BPM
* On the UCD:
  + Actors should be on the left side of the use cases
  + Arrows should just be lines without arrowheads
  + Lines should start at the same point next to the actor and go straight to each use case
  + Actor labels are not consistent with the CD
  + Look at the MIS4173 DE SPRING2022 PRESENTATION 05.pdf file—start at slide 41
* The Context Diagram:
  + Entities should be squared corner rectangles, not rounded
  + Entity labels are not consistent with the UCD
  + You have duplicate dataflows—Service requests
* Product backlog and sprint 1 backlog are fine. Normally you would show more of the product backlog
* No PSPI was presented
* Mr. O’Dor gave you all a 2.7/4 = 67.5%. You can see I gave you a 86%, **Remember**, he grades the SR&R 6 Presentation, I do not.